



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,346	01/31/2001	Suggy S. Chrai	176650-96 2597	
7:	590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
William J. Burke			GEORGE, KONATA M	
Sarnoff Corpor 201 Washingto		,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Princeton, NJ			1616 DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sugalama, I I	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Supplemental Advisory Action	09/774,346	CHRAI ET AL.	$\gamma_{l}$
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	7
	Konata M. George	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (a)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>December 5, 2003</u>.</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ol>			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	,		
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		, ,
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner/	$\gamma / /  $
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_ Atto	1/14/
0. Other:		$O_{\Lambda + 1}$	Daor
<del></del>		A Itan	Myn Projor Cy Etam

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Part of Paper No. 12172003

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While the prior art does not prefer to utilize the "spot deposited" method it is taught by the prior art as a method that can be employed in the production of the pharmaceutical unit dosage form. Therefore, it is the position of the examiner that the prior art teaches the claimed invention.